# DOES FREE TO READ MEAN FREE TO TRAIN?
THE OA-AI CONUNDRUM

Caroline Ball
Community Engagement Lead, Open Book Collective

caroline@openbookcollective.org
@heroicendeavour.bsky.social

FROM SYSTEMS TO ETHICS

- o Legal ≠ ethical

- o Choices, not inevitabilities

- o Consequences for people

INTENT VS REALITY OF OPENNESS

- OA designed for people

- Expectations vs outcomes

- Reuse in the service of research

- Ethical misalignment remains

ATTRIBUTION, TRUST, AND HARM

- Attribution underpins scholarship

- AI breaks traceability

- Harm is uneven

VALUE WITHOUT RECIPROCITY

- Scholarly labour is collective

- Value is extracted

- Recognition is lost

QUALITY, POWER, AND MANIPULATION

- o **OA improves AI quality**

- o **Restriction has risks**

- o **Misinformation thrives in gaps**

POLL: COMPETING ETHICAL RISKS

Which risk worries you most when thinking about AI and open access?

- AI companies extracting value without reciprocity
- AI systems becoming less reliable if high-quality research is restricted
- Deliberate manipulation of AI training data by bad actors
- I'm equally concerned about all of these

BACKLASH AND UNEVEN IMPACT

- Trust in OA is fragile

- Backlash is plausible

- Harm is unevenly shared

INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

- Institutions have agency

- Silence shapes outcomes

- Responsibility is collective

ENDING WITH QUESTIONS WE CAN'T AVOID

WHAT OBLIGATIONS ACCOMPANY REUSE?

WHO SPEAKS FOR SCHOLARS?

WHAT KIND OF OPENNESS DO WE WANT?